

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| TYRONE GABB, |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | |
| vs. |) | Case No. 15-cv-01415-JPG-DGW |
| |) | |
| WEXFORD HEALTH SOURCE, INC, |) | |
| <i>et al.</i> , |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendants. |) | |

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter comes before the court on the Report and Recommendation (“R & R”) (Doc. 35) of Magistrate Judge Donald G. Wilkerson with regard to Plaintiff’s Motion (Doc. 27) for Preliminary Injunction. The R & R recommends that the plaintiff’s motion be denied and there were no objections to the R & R.

The Court may accept, reject or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations of the magistrate judge in a report and recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). The Court must review *de novo* the portions of the report to which objections are made. The Court has discretion to conduct a new hearing and may consider the record before the magistrate judge anew or receive any further evidence deemed necessary. *Id.* “If no objection or only partial objection is made, the district court judge reviews those unobjected portions for clear error.” *Johnson v. Zema Sys. Corp.*, 170 F.3d 734, 739 (7th Cir. 1999).

The Court has received no objection to the R & R and has reviewed the entire file and finds that the R & R is not clearly erroneous. Accordingly, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report in its entirety (Doc. 35) and **DENIES** Plaintiff’s Motion (Doc. 27) for Preliminary Injunction.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 3/6/2017

S/J. Phil Gilbert
J. PHIL GILBERT
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE